

Hoffman Estates, IL 60195

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. KCC-19188 8792 10/655,717 09/05/2003 Peiguang Zhou EXAMINER 7590 -04/08/2005 Melanie I. Rauch NUTTER, NATHAN M Pauley Petersen & Erickson ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 365 2800 West Higgins Road 1731

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/655,717	ZHOU, PEIGUANG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of find the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ilication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
·	on o) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo	/	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice		·
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the ap	nlication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Ap	oplication No
Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not r	received.
Attachment(s)		
1)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	TO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>104,304,1004, 205</u> .	6)	<u>_</u> ·

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to an adhesive polymer blend composition, classified in class 525, subclasses 88, 89, 191, 222, 232, 240 and 241.
- II. Claims 18-32, drawn to a laminate structure, classified in class 428, subclasses 507, 511, 512 and 515.
- III. Claims 33-35, drawn to a laminated garment structure, classified in class 428, subclasses 98, 113, 189 and 190.
- IV. Claims 36-43, drawn to a method of making a laminated structure, classified in class 427, subclasses 180 and 189.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and of Groups II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a film-forming or molding composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1711

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions of Groups II and III and of Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process, as claimed, can be used to make other and materially different laminates using different adhesive compositions and/or substrates.

The invention of Group IV is drawn to a method of making the invention of either Group II or Group III, and, as such is not related to the invention of Group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Melanie I. Rauch on 4 April 205 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

4 April 2005